

November 4, 2016

MEMORANDUM

TO: Members, Transportation Technical Committee
 FROM: Cristina Finch, AICP, LEED AP, Director of Transportation
 SUBJ: November 10, 2016 TTC Meeting/Agenda

The November meeting of the Transportation Technical Committee (TTC) will be held Thursday, November 10, 2016 at 1:30 pm at the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission office (Top Floor Conference Room), 313 Luck Avenue, SW in Roanoke, VA.

AGENDA

1. Welcome, Call to Order, Introductions *Chairman Sexton*
2. Action on the October 13, 2016 Minutes, pp. 2-11 *Chairman Sexton*
3. Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan, pp. 12-14 *Cristina Finch*
 and Attachment #1 **Action Requested**
4. Proposed Development of a New Constrained Long-Range, p. 15 *Rachel Ruhlen*
 Multimodal Transportation Plan Subcommittee **Action Requested**
5. Other Business
 - Upcoming Development of Transportation Improvement Program *Cristina Finch*
6. Comments by Members and/or Citizens
7. Adjournment

TPO POLICY BOARD: Cities of Roanoke and Salem; Counties of Bedford, Botetourt, Montgomery and Roanoke; Town of Vinton; Greater Roanoke Transit Company (*Valley Metro*); Roanoke-Blacksburg Regional Airport; Virginia Department of Rail & Public Transportation; Virginia Department of Transportation

MINUTES

Transportation Technical Committee Meeting October 13, 2016

The October meeting of the Transportation Technical Committee was held on Thursday, October 13, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. at the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission office, 313 Luck Avenue, SW, Roanoke, VA. Attendance follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Liz Belcher	Roanoke Valley Greenway Commission
Chris Chittum	City of Roanoke
Michael Gray	Va. Dept. of Transportation – Salem District
Joey Hiner	Town of Vinton
David Holladay	Roanoke County
Mark Jamison	City of Roanoke
Jerod Myers	Botetourt County
Melinda Payne	City of Salem
Cody Sexton	Botetourt County
Ben Tripp	City of Salem
Tori Williams	Roanoke County

Staff Present: Bryan Hill, Jeremy Holmes, Jackie Pace, Rachel Ruhlen

1. WELCOME, CALL TO ORDER, INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Sexton called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m. A quorum was present. Chairman Sexton introduced Jerod Myers, a new Botetourt County member to the TTC. Myers is the Long-Range Planner for Botetourt County. Guests in attendance: Anita McMillan, Town of Vinton.

2. ACTION ON THE SEPTEMBER 8, 2016 MINUTES

The Minutes of the September 8, 2016 TTC meeting were previously distributed.

Bryan Hill requested that a change be made to the second sentence in the third paragraph on page 4, “Members also felt that rather than engage in a timely scoring process at this time, they suggested that TPO staff conduct the exercise to test the merits of the system.”

TPO POLICY BOARD: Cities of Roanoke and Salem; Counties of Bedford, Botetourt, Montgomery and Roanoke; Town of Vinton; Greater Roanoke Transit Company (*Valley Metro*); Roanoke-Blacksburg Regional Airport; Virginia Department of Rail & Public Transportation; Virginia Department of Transportation

For clarity purposes, Hill suggested that the wording of the sentence be changed to read as follows: *“Members also felt that rather than engage in a timely scoring process at this time, that the staff would conduct a scoring/prioritization process on the project sometime next year after: (1) the Constrained and Vision lists are adopted by the TPO Policy Board, and (2) after a scoring and prioritization process has been adopted by the TPO Policy Board.”*

Chairman Sexton asked if there was any discussion concerning the recommendation. Ben Tripp asked if it was the Regional Commission staff that would be conducting the scoring.

Hill and Michael Gray suggested the wording be changed to read as follows: *“Members also felt that rather than engage in a timely scoring process at this time, that the Commission staff would work with the TTC to conduct a scoring/prioritization process on the project sometime next year after: (1) the constrained and vision lists are adopted by the TPO Policy Board, and (2) after a scoring and prioritization process has been adopted by the TPO Policy Board.”*

Transportation Technical Committee Action:

Upon motion by Mr. Holladay, seconded by Ms. Payne and carried, the September 8, 2016 Minutes were approved, as amended.

(Chairman Sexton changed the order of the agenda to continue the discussion of the Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan.)

3. REVIEW OF THE FINAL DRAFT CONSTRAINED LONG-RANGE MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (CLRMTP)

Bryan Hill suggested discussing the text of the Draft *Vision 2040: Roanoke Valley Transportation plan* (Citizens Guide) first. He mentioned that the following updates were made since the previous draft was distributed in September:

- Remove references to proposed performance measures;
- Make some descriptions more succinct;
- Remove analogies and process-related text that will be included in future documentation regarding the LRTP plan amendment process or project scoring process; and
- Improve the formatting.

Hill stated that staff is seeking a recommendation from the TTC only on the text to the proposed draft plan and not the Constrained or Vision Lists of the CLRMTP. Hill said the CLRMTP will not go forward to the TPO Policy Board until the Constrained and Vision Lists are recommended by the TTC. Staff can report on the text recommendations by the TTC to the TPO at their October meeting.

Liz Belcher expressed concern with the goals on page nine, particularly those discussing multimodal transportation. Belcher felt that the goals in their current form were restricting projects which only connect Multimodal Districts and Centers. She noted Goals 2 and 3 specifically, saying that by definition those exclude the greenways because they tend to be in the riparian corridors, not in Multimodal Centers and Districts. Belcher further stated

“greenways are one of the major regional, multimodal transportation developments and yet these draft goals appear to restrict them by using the predefined Multimodal Districts and Centers’ boundaries.”

Hill asked if members were asking that another goal be added to specifically indicate existing facilities and greenways, and to provide less specific language to Districts and Centers?

Holladay stated that while the Centers and Districts were good planning tools and components to the overall transportation puzzle, they are not everything. Additional goals are needed to address other transportation needs. While the Multimodal Centers and Districts are good tools and areas to define, they may change with time.

Chairman Sexton asked Hill if the feedback was enough. Concerning Belcher’s concern, Chairman Sexton said he didn’t know if it would be a complete and separate goal but some sort of justification to include.

Holladay stated “the four goals in the staff report are dealing with land use and transportation and to some extent congestion, but are missing a lot to do with safety and congestion mitigation. Bigger goal for economic development is to have these goals be broader and not just be focused on the centers and districts and connections. While it covers a lot, it does not cover everything.”

Hill asked about aligning more toward the six SMART SCALE factors. Holladay agreed noting “I think we would be in better shape.”

Williams spoke about the reliability measure, noting that some language in the goals to reflect that effort would be helpful.

Chairman Sexton asked members to keep in mind the plan is a vision of 2040, but it is a five-year plan, noting keeping within that constraint that we are not going to rewrite the whole picture in the next five years.

Chairman Sexton comments: “We have Holladay’s comments on adding economic development and safety measures, and Tori Williams’ comment on focusing on reliability. Add congestion mitigation measure as well.”

Gray noted that he would recommend going back to what Holladay said. Additional Gray comments: “Look at congestion, safety, economic development, accessibility – those are pieces that are in the SMART SCALE process. If I’m looking at this, we need to say safety is a goal, congestion is a goal addressing those issues, economic development is a goal, accessibility is a goal, environment is a goal, and then these pieces that are talking about the federal FAST ACT planning factors can be tied to those and then the performance measures can also be tied. There needs to be a balance. I think you get to that by, not necessarily calling out multimodal centers, but more of congestion is an issue and multimodal centers fit into those. I would recommend going back to the six SMART SCALE factors and look at developing some general goals associated with those.”

Chairman Sexton comments: Reliability with the congestion mitigation factor and start with the six SMART SCALE goals and working from there.

DISCUSSION OF FINANCIALLY CONSTRAINED AND VISION LISTS

Hill reported that we are behind concerning the timeline of the lists. The last LRTP was approved in June 2011. The text of the LRTP Citizen's Guide is in draft form and the technical document is almost complete. Need to move this on so a scoring process can be adopted next year, as well as put in place an LRTP amendment process.

Hill reported that the TPO staff would like to create a subcommittee of the TTC to work with the staff on prioritization and scoring processes.

Chairman Sexton clarified that there are two issues: (1) a list we need to get done preferably for approval in October, and (2) creating a scoring process that would be in effect for next year.

Hill comments: "The timing on this is such that if we would like to discuss putting the projects we have in some sort of priority and not attach cost estimates at this point because we know they have to be adjusted for inflation and spread across 25-year horizon in the LRTP. What the staff is asking today is to simply take a look at everything we have, based on comments from last month's meeting (looking at projects that are priority for some localities now and things in the first five years, on the six-year plan, just applied for SMART SCALE, Transportation Alternatives (TA), etc., look at those and put in some sort of order that the TTC is comfortable with and then after meeting, the idea would be that the staff would look at the projects, put in order and then apply inflation, run across 25 years and then at the October meeting TTC members would have something to recommend to the TPO Policy Board. The schedule of the TPO is there will be a combined November-December meeting (due to holidays) on December 1, 2016. Ideally, the goal is to have something before the TPO at their December meeting."

Chairman Sexton asked TTC members for their thoughts on developing a subcommittee to do the scoring for next year's amendment.

Gray asked if it was to do the scoring or to work with the staff to develop the actual scoring process. Chairman Sexton and Hill both agreed it was to develop a subcommittee of the TTC to develop a scoring process.

Consensus among members was that this was a "great idea."

Chairman Sexton stated that there was a consensus then to establish a subcommittee of the TTC to develop a scoring process and then work with staff for next year's amendment.

Comments/discussion ensued. Belcher asked "not between now and November?" Hill replied "No, this is 2017 when looking at amendment to technical report of LRTP and we would also look at some sort of a process to amend the LRTP,"

Chairman Sexton said he would estimate the work of the subcommittee to begin in January of 2017. Belcher asked if there would be one person per locality on the subcommittee. Chairman

Sexton replied Yes, plus Michael Gray. Continuation of Chairman Sexton comments: Anticipate this group would begin work first of 2017, report back mid-summer and the amendment could take place in the fall of 2017. Next, between now and November 2016, we must get a list together. The list reported in the staff report is not that list. Hill suggested some sort of prioritization based on timing of projects and adding inflation.

Gray said he would like to help kick that discussion off in terms of what our priorities are. "I think we start with what has been submitted. Secondly, does each locality have any other "in the wings" projects that they would like to potentially submit in the next round. Gray further stated he thinks that is a logical starting point of what our localities or regional groups are thinking about submitting, and might help the members to develop a list of what we think are high priority and then all the others can fall in under that."

Chairman Sexton stated "In short-term of this list creation, it is not a qualitative ranking of the value of the project, it is merely a ranking on anticipated time (5-10 years) of actually putting something forward."

Staff distributed at the meeting new draft listings (dated 10/5/16) of Vision List of Projects and Financially Constrained List of Projects (not in the Six-Year Improvement Program).

Concerning the lists, Hill said the TTC has talked about identifying projects by short, medium and long-term, noting the current Six-Year Plan (FY'22) and then the way it breaks out -- short-term ('23-28); medium-term ('29-34); and long-term ('35-40).

Hill stated "staff is proposing that members look at projects that are based on these priorities and once that is squared away, first look at those projects in the current six-year plan, and then some projects that are in the short-term, then after they are prioritized, look at those things being applied for in this fiscal year (SMART SCALE, TA, etc.), then after that look at things being developed for FY'18. What is left you are looking at other projects from stakeholders. This is what staff would propose in terms of priorities."

Holladay stated "with the goal being to figure out a Vision List and a Constrained List."

Hill replied "When the money runs out, we can draw a line of what is on the Vision and Constrained Lists."

Gray asked to make a recommendation, and stated it was similar to what was just proposed. "Take the lists provided, and using short, medium and long-term which were already developed by the TTC. If the projects that each locality submitted for SMART SCALE are on the list then score them as #1; any for next round then score them as #2; and anything else (i.e., SMART SCALE, TA, Revenue Sharing (federal money), etc.) would be scored as #3."

TTC members reviewed the Constrained and Vision Lists and scored each project with a #1, #2 or #3 (per Mr. Gray's comments above).

FINANCIALLY CONSTRAINED LIST OF PROJECTS

Road/Highway/Interstate Projects	Rank
Valley View Blvd. Extension	1
Hershberger Rd. Cove to Peters Creek	2
I-581 & Peters Creek Rd.	2
U.S. 11/Williamson Rd.	2
U.S. 221/Brambleton Ave.	2
Explore Park Access – Hardy Rd.	3
Explore Park Access – Secondary Access Pt.	2
Rte. 1662/McVitty Rd.	1
Develop U.S. 460/Challenger Ave.	3
Plantation Road Improvements Phase II	1
U.S. 220 Expressway Acceleration Lane Improve.	1
East Main Street Phase II	1
East Main Street/Downtown Salem Streetscape	1
Downtown Streetscape & Intersection Improve.	1
Walnut Ave. Improve. Project	1
<u>I-81 Improvements from the Western TPO Boundary to Exit 150</u>	
Smaller version Ramp Extension Projects	2
I-81 Auxiliary Lane SB from Exit 143-141	1
I-81 Auxiliary Lane NB from Exit 140-141	1
I-81 Auxiliary Lane SB from Exit 141-140	1
I-81 Auxiliary Lane SB from Exit 150 to Weigh Sta.	1
Colonial Avenue Improvements – Brandon Ave. to Overland	2
<u>Bike/Pedestrian/Transit Access Projects</u>	
King Street-Gus Nicks to Orange	3
Melrose/Salem Turnpike/Orange (Talk to City officials)	
Valley View Blvd. Transit Access (Talk to Kevin Price)	
Campbell Ave. Bike & Ped. Improve.	3
Bus Stop Accessibility (Talk to Kevin Price)	2
Real Time Info. System	1
West Main St. Ped. Improve. Phase II	1
Rte. 682/Garst Mill Rd.	3
Downtown Rke. Intermodal Station	3
<u>Transit Transfer Facility Projects</u>	
Various Transit Transfer Facilities (Talk to Kevin Price)	
<u>Transit Vehicles Projects</u>	
Ongoing Bus Replace. & Rebuild Main.	1

Six Additional Vehicles (Talk to Kevin Price)	1
<u>Greenway Projects</u>	
Mason Creek Greenway	1
Rke. River Greenway fro Rotary Park	1
Glade Creek Greenway Phase II	1 (Funded)
Glade Creek Greenway Phase III	3
Lick Run Greenway	2
Tinker Creek Greenway	1 (Roanoke City) AND
Tinker Creek Greenway	2 (Roanoke & Botetourt Counties)
Rke. River Greenway from Blue Ridge Parkway	1 (Funded)
Rke. River Greenway West – Green Hill Park	3
Rke. River Greenway West – West Main St.	3
Rke. River Greenway Ext. through Explore Park	1
<u>ITS Projects</u>	
Was Noted As All One Project --	1
<i>(Rte. 419/Electric Road Adaptive Traffic Control Improvements –</i>	
<i>U.S. 221/Brambleton Ave. Adaptive Traffic Control Improvements)</i>	

DRAFT VISION LIST OF PROJECTS

<u>Road/Highway/Interstate Projects</u>	
Cove Rd. - Hershberger to Peters Creek	2
Church Ave. – Jefferson to 5 th St.	2
Williamson Rd. to Orange to Angell	2
Memorial Ave. Grandin to Denniston	3
Hollins Rd. (Orange Ave. to Liberty Rd.)	3
Jefferson St. – Elm to McClanahan	2
Friendship Lane/Carvins Cove	3
U.S. 220 Improve. Electric Rd/419 to Franklin Co.	3
Rte. 116/Jae Valley Rd. Improvements	3
Apperson Drive over Roanoke River Bridge Repl. (State of Good Repair project)	
Interchange Lighting at I-81 Exits 137-150	2
Walnut Ave. & 8 th Street Intersection	2
Comprehensive Traffic Intersection Improvements	3
U.S. 11/U.S. 460 Corridor Study Implementation (ID a specific project)	
9 th Street, SE (streetscape, ped, roundabouts, etc.)	3
Second Pedestrian Bridge over I-581 at Valley View Blvd.	
Liberty Rd. – Burrell to Hollins	3
<u>Future Joint Transit/Bike/Ped Improvement Projects</u>	

Electric Rd/419 & Brambleton to Postal MM Improve.	3
Rte. 625/Hershberger Rd.	3
Rte. 634/Hardy Rd.	3
Rte. 687/Penn Forest Blvd.	3
Rte. 679/Buck Mountain Rd.	3
Route 11/Williamson Road Bike/Ped Improvements	(Williamson to Plantation – 2; Rest – 3)
Rte. 115/Plantation Rd	3
Route 419/Tanglewood Mall/Ogden to Rte. 221	(Break into pieces Ogden to 221 – 3; 220 interchange @419 - 3)
Rte. 907/Starkey Rd. Improvements	3
Brambleton Ave. Multimodal Improvements	3
Apperson Drive Streetscape/Multimodal Improvements	3
Braeburn Drive – Transit/Bike/Ped Improvements	3
Virginia Ave., /Rte. 24	3
Chestnut St.	3
Hardy Road SRTS Project.	3
Valley Metro Expanded Maintenance Facility	1
Roanoke Co. Transfer Facilities	3
Nine Additional Vehicles medium-term (Talk to Kevin Price)	
Twenty-Two Additional Vehicles long-term (Talk to Kevin Price)	

ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FROM LOCALITIES

Washington Ave. Ped. Crossing –	2
Franklin Sidewalk Curb & Gutter to Tanglewood	1
Exit 150 Park & Ride - Botetourt County	1
Thompson Memorial – City of Salem	3
East Main St. – City of Salem	2

Chairman Sexton stated that any additional projects should be submitted to Bryan Hill by the end of next week, with a copy sent to him and Michael Gray as well.

Rachel Ruhlen updated members on the Transportation Prioritization Survey. The survey asks participants to rank 6 transportation categories. To-date, 338 responses have received online and at events in Salem and Roanoke. Interstate 81 received the highest rankings, followed by Ped/Bike/Transit Access, Other Roads, Transit Facilities, and Intelligent Transportation Solutions. Members asked Ms. Ruhlen to send them the [link to the online survey](#) so they could share as well.

4. REVIEW OF THE SIX-YEAR TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PLAN 2016-2021

Jeremy Holmes, Director of the RIDE Solutions Program, reported that the Virginia Department of Rail and Transportation requires Transportation Demand Management (TDM) programs, such as RIDE Solutions, to prepare Six-Year TDM Plans. The plan shows the progress of the TDM

programs, outlines marketing outreach methods, mission goals and objectives, and outlines service changes and expansion plans/expected areas of growth. Mr. Holmes noted that the Plan is designed to address the TDM needs of the RIDE Solutions service area of central and southwest Virginia, while matching the State's Six-Year Improvement Program's (SYIP) planning horizons.

The RIDE Solutions program began in 2001 as a service of the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission. The program was expanded in 2008 to provide services in the New River Valley, which is operated through the New River Valley Regional Commission. In 2012, the program expanded into the Region 2000 service area of the greater Lynchburg region, and in 2015 the expansion continued into Southside Virginia in partnership with the West Piedmont Workforce Investment Board. RIDE Solutions serves commuters across central and southwest Virginia, and into West Virginia and North Carolina.

The focus of RIDE Solutions over the next six years will be on the expansion of its core services, continued outreach to employer partners, and a focus on bicycle and pedestrian transportation options in certain communities. TTC members were asked to forward their comments to Mr. Holmes. The Plan will be presented to the TPO Policy Board at their October 27, 2016 meeting. Website: www.ridesolutions.org

5. **REVIEW OF THE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES REPORT**

Bryan Hill stated that this report is an annual requirement of the TPO's status as a Transportation Management Area (TMA) -- measures to be reported on as mandated by VDOT and the Feds. Hill asked for comments or feedback on the report.

Belcher requested adding miles of paved greenways, stating that it does not make sense to list miles of bike lanes, wide shoulders, sharrows, etc., but not miles of greenways. She stated she requested this last year and that greenways are a major facility for bicycles and pedestrians. Belcher asked why there is no data for 2014 and 2015 for number of bicyclists by location (Table 3.1). Hill responded that NBPD data was attempted but not successfully obtained due to issues such as weather, and that the greenway counters had issues with continual data collection that has since been resolved. Ruhlen commented that NBPD data for 2016 was successfully collected.

Hill stated that action will be needed to forward the document, with addressing changes discussed, to the TPO Policy Board.

Transportation Technical Committee Action:

Upon motion by Melinda Payne, seconded by Joey Hiner and carried, it was recommended that the Annual Performance Measures Report, as amended, be forwarded to the TPO Policy Board for review and action at their October 27, 2016 meeting.

6. **OTHER BUSINESS**

- TPO staff recently received two "Excellence in Regional Transportation" awards from the National Association of Development Organizations (NADO) for their work on the Roanoke

Valley Pedestrian Vision Plan and A Basic Guide to the Transportation Improvement Program.

- VDOT's Six-Year Improvement Program Meeting will be held on October 13, 2016 at the Salem Civic Center (Open House at 4:00pm, followed by the Meeting at 5:00 pm).

Adjournment at 3:10 p.m.

Submitted by
Jackie L. Pace, Recording Secretary



STAFF REPORT

SUBJ: Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan

At the direction of the TPO Policy Board, RVARC staff met with a subcommittee of the TTC to finalize recommended financially constrained and vision project lists (see meeting notes attached). At the TTC's October 2016 meeting, proposed projects were ranked a 1, 2, or 3 depending on project development status. Sufficient funding in the financial constraint accommodated all projects with a "1" ranking. All remaining projects with a "2" or "3" ranking are on the vision list.

The document presented is the citizen's version of the Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan (CLRMTTP). The full CLRMTTP 2040 document is under development and anticipated for completion in Summer/Fall 2017.

ACTION REQUESTED

Staff requests the TTC to recommend the Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan 2040 (Citizen's Version) and associated financially constrained and vision lists of projects to the TPO Policy Board.



MEETING NOTES

Transportation Technical Committee
 Long-Range Transportation Plan Subcommittee Work Session
 November 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.
 Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission, Top Floor Conference Room
 313 Luck Avenue, SW, Roanoke, VA 24016

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Nick Britton	VDRPT (by telephone)
Michael Gray	VDOT, Salem District (by telephone)
David Holladay	Roanoke County
Mark Jamison	City of Roanoke
Cody Sexton, Chairman	Botetourt County
Ben Tripp, Vice-Chairman	City of Salem

RVARC Staff Present: Cristina Finch, Bryan Hill, Rachel Ruhlen

WORK SESSION ITEM

In accordance with the direction by the Roanoke Valley Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board at its October 27, 2016 meeting for RVARC staff to meet with a subset of the Transportation Technical Committee (TTC), a meeting was held on Monday, November 1, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. The charge of the Subcommittee was to prioritize transportation projects for the draft Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan, ultimately resulting in creation of the recommended Financially Constrained and Vision Lists of Projects.

Staff and the Subcommittee worked from a draft spreadsheet staff had developed following the TTC meeting on October 13th. The spreadsheet incorporated the TTC's priority rankings of "1, 2, and 3", where: "1" = Projects applied for currently under SMART SCALE, TA, HSIP or other funding programs; "2" = Projects planned for application through Fiscal Year 2018; and "3" = All other project ideas. For purposes of distinction, all projects currently appearing in the Six-Year Improvement Program (SYIP) were designated on the spreadsheet with a "0", where previously the TTC categorized them also as "1"s.

During the Work Session, staff sought clarification from the Subcommittee on cost estimates of certain candidate projects which were unknown at the October 13 TTC meeting. Staff explained the grouped rankings and the Subcommittee spent considerable time discussing and adjusting the numbers in the out years to conform with financial projections. Several projects were spread from their Short-Term project completion timeframes to Medium and Long-Term, to balance out all projections. The Subcommittee discussed bundling several similar projects. I-81 auxiliary lane projects were combined into a single category rather than by anticipated project segments; as such, some funding for I-81 auxiliary lane projects appear in the Constrained List as well as in the Vision List.

Michael Gray explained that the VDOT financial projects for fiscal years through FY21 should not be used, rather the amounts of projects funded in the current SYIP. The FY22 funds in the current SYIP have not been spent, and the financial constraint projected for FY22 and all following years should be used for this exercise.

Staff has made the necessary changes as discussed, and the recommended lists are included in the agenda packet for the November 10 TTC meeting.

The Subcommittee concluded its Work Session at approximately 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Bryan W. Hill, Regional Planner III

STAFF REPORT

SUBJ: Proposed Development of a New Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan Subcommittee

At the October meetings of the TTC and the TPO Policy Board, there was discussion and interest regarding the establishment of a subcommittee to work with RVARC staff on the Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan. This subcommittee would develop a scoring criteria to prioritize projects during the development of the next Constrained Long-Range Multimodal Transportation Plan, among other activities.

Staff studied long-range transportation planning subcommittees of other MPOs. Some of these subcommittees are quite large (26 people in Hampton Roads), with representation from each locality, while others are smaller, with members appointed by the policy board. Members are mostly staff from localities as the work of the subcommittee is highly technical. However, the Richmond LRTP subcommittee has substantial representation from other advisory committees such as the citizen's advisory committee and elderly/disability advisory committee.

Based on this information, staff recommend that a long-range transportation planning subcommittee be formed. Staff recommend that the TPO Policy Board invite each voting TPO member organization to assign one representative to the subcommittee and direct the Citizen's Advisory Committee to assign two representatives, one of whom shall represent disability interests.

ACTION REQUESTED

Staff requests the TTC to recommend the formation of a subcommittee to guide the long-range transportation planning process to the TPO Policy Board.