

Blue Ridge Interagency Council on Homelessness



313 Luck Avenue, SW | Roanoke, Virginia 24016 | P: 540.343.4417 | F: 540.343.4416 |

Friday, March 13, 2020

- 1. Welcome and introductions Paula Prince, BRICH Chair
- 2. Approval of Meeting Notes February 2019
- Legislation and the Housing Trust Fund Randy Moore, Virginia Housing Alliance
- ESG Funding Discussion Paula Prince, BRICH Chair and Keith Holland, City of Roanoke
- 5. Roanoke City Schools Homeless Students Report Malora Horn, RCPS
- 6. System Performance Report Ben Bristoll, CHRC
- 7. Other Business
 - PIT Data Update
 - VHSP Application
- 8. Adjourn

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the BRICH will be April 10, 2020

Serving the Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem and the Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, and Roanoke



Blue Ridge Interagency Council on Homelessness



313 Luck Avenue, SW | Roanoke, Virginia 24016 | P: 540.343.4417 | F: 540.343.4416 |

Friday, February 14, 2020

| ATTENDANCE | - | Amanda Sparks | Р | Anne Marie Green | Р | Joyce Earl | - | Kathleen Guilliams |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------|---|--------------------|
| P = Present | - | Angela Penn | - | Dani Poe | - | Jo Nelson | - | Jaime/Russell Clay |
| - = Absent | Р | Annette Lewis | Р | Dave Prosser | - | Judy Smith | - | Pamela Hall |
| | Р | Lt. Pulley | - | David Bustamante | Р | Judy Hough | - | Paula Prince |
| | Р | Ben Bristoll | - | Dawn Riddle | Р | Keith Holland | • | Sally Putnam |
| | Р | Bill Duncan | - | Debbie Brown | Р | Kristin Adkins | Ρ | Sherry Stull |
| | - | Brian Geiser | - | Felicia Prescott | - | Leah Gibson | - | Sheryl Walters |
| | - | Bruce Phipps | Р | Hope Browning | - | Lee Clark | - | Tanyia Jones |
| | Р | Bryan Hill | Р | Isabel Thornton | Р | Leslie Leedy | - | Jensen Mellnick |
| | - | Carla Wallace | - | Jim Weber | Р | Malora Horn | | |
| | - | Chelsea Pardue | • | John Garland | Р | Matt Crookshank | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| GUESTS | Р | Becca Sisson, Rescue Mission | | sion | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Welcome and Introductions – Matt Crookshank

• Introductions by those in attendance. Minutes from the CoC meeting were distributed in the agenda packet.

Approval of Meeting Notes – January 2020

• January meeting notes were distributed.

ESG Presentations

- Marie Muddiman made the presentation for Family Promise of Roanoke which requested \$29,500 in ESG funding. Last year, Family Promise served 26 families. By the end of the year, 25 families left the program and 22 families moved into permanent housing.
- Jeannie McCormack made the presentation for ARCH which requested \$40,200 in ESG funding for two of its programs. Trust House provides weekly case management and assessments for shelter guests. New Beginnings provides housing stability case management after clients are housed.
- Hope Browning made the presentation for Community Housing Resource Center which
 requested \$73,300 in ESG funding. CHRC will provide homeless prevention services for
 approximately 21 families. Rapid rehousing programs will assist approximately 5 families. HMIS
 funds will be used to cover software maintenance and shared hosting services for 72 users.

Serving the Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem and the Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, and Roanoke



Blue Ridge Interagency Council on Homelessness



313 Luck Avenue, SW | Roanoke, Virginia 24016 | P: 540.343.4417 | F: 540.343.4416 |

- Matt Crookshank made the presentation for City of Roanoke-Homeless Assistance Team which requested \$5,000 in ESG funding. These funds will be used to provide outreach services to unsheltered persons by meeting their basic needs.
- United Way Safety Net funds have been reduced from \$89,000 to \$15,000 over the past several years. Those funds were spread among six partner agencies to assist clients.
- Roanoke City does not keep a portion of ESG funds for administrative costs. All funds go to the community.
- Keith Holland anticipates having the actual amount of ESG funds in March. He estimates it will be at least \$148,000.
- Annette Lewis motioned that funding be discussed in March when we know the actual amount available; Bryan Hill second the motion. Those present voted to approve.

Roanoke City Schools – Homeless Student Report – Malora Horn

- Malora Horn reviewed the January report. She noted 366 "Doubled Up" students is a large number even though other numbers are down slightly from the same period last year.
- In January, 38 youth were identified as homeless. The total for the 2019-2020 school year is 474 students identified. (Shelter 59, Doubled Up 366, Hotel 49)
- Malora introduced Kristin Gibson, Outreach Specialist, who will attend meetings when Malora is unavailable.
- Help the Homeless funds have helped create 15 Welcome Home Totes for families who have been housed. It also helped provide Kroger gift cards for families at Christmas.

Other Business

- HUD funding for PSH projects will see a 4% increase due to fair market rent determination increases. All Tier 1 funding requests for the CoC have been approved. Tier 2 awards have not been announced.
- Next month, there will be a speaker from Virginia Housing Alliance to review the Housing Trust funds and other funding opportunities.
- On February 28, there will be a meeting at the Taubman Museum for Human Services' executives to share information on problems that they are facing (minimum wage increase, how to increase access to the arts).
- There are five one-bedroom apartments available on the Briarcliff side of Scott Hill Retirement Community. Referrals are being accepted.
- Adjourn The next meeting of the BRICH will be March 13, 2020.

Serving the Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem and the Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, and Roanoke

2020-21 ESG Applications for HUD Funding **Executive Summary**

Agency: ARCH

Services: Shelter Operations and Rapid Rehousing

- FY 2021 Request \$40,200
 - \$15,500 Rapid Rehousing Funding will be used for salary of full-time case manager including taxes and benefits.
 - \$24,700 Shelter Operations Funding will be used for shelter operations including utitlity costs, food for clients, shelter supplies, repair costs, and commercial insurance costs.
- Past Performance (1920) \$ 40,200 All funds used.

Agency: City of Roanoke-Homeless Assistance Team

Services: Street Outreach

- FY 1920 Request \$5,000
 - Funding will be used to purchase approx. 200 weekly bus passes, 800 single bus passes, and approx. \$3,000 utilized to purchase food and outreach supplies such as book bags, sleeping bags, and blankets.
- Past Performance (1920) \$ 5,000 -All funds used.

Agency: **Council of Community Services**

Services: Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, & Data Collection (HMIS)

- FY 2021 Request \$73,300
 - \$49,012 Homelessness Prevention- ESG Direct Assistance to clients 21 families with assistance of approx. \$1,750 to each family. \$12,253 – Staffing cost for one Director and one Housing Coordinator.
 - \$16,225 ESG Direct Assistance to clients (Rapid Rehousing) 5 homeless families assisted with approx. \$2,257 to each family. \$4,937 - Staffing cost for one Housing Coordinator.
 - \$8,063 HMIS data collection to cover software maintenance, enhancements, customer support, shared hosting services, and bandwidth fees.
- Past Performance (1920) \$66,225 All funds used.

Agency: **Family Promise of Greater Roanoke**

Service: Shelter Operations

- FY 2021 Request \$29,500
 - o \$29,500 Shelter Operations for families with children. These funds will be used for the salary of Program Manager to provide case management and program implementation.
 - o Past Performance (1920) \$ 29,500 All funds used.

2020-2021 TOTAL ESG FUNDS REQUESTED: \$148,000

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: <u>ARCH: Shelter Operations</u> | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, nei benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature D | ate | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: ARCH: Rapid Rehousing | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, ne benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 45 | | |
| Comments: | 15 | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature Da | ite | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: <u>City of Roanoke Homeless Assistance Team: Street Outreach</u> | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, ne benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 45 | | |
| Comments: | 15 | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature Dat | te | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: Council of Community Services: Prevention | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, ne benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 45 | | |
| Comments: | 15 | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature D | ate | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: <u>Council of Community Services: Rapid Rehousing</u> | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, ne benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | 13 | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature Da | ate | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: Council of Community Services: Data Collection | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, ne benefits and similar considerations. | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | |
| Comments: | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 15 | | |
| Comments: | 13 | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's SignatureD | ate | | |

| 2020-21 ESG Rating Review | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Reviewer: Proposal: Family Promise of Greater Roanoke: Shelter Operations | | | | | |
| Criterion: Overall Value of Proposal The proposal offers activities which would make a valuable contribution to the community in terms of consistency with overall plans, policies and vision statements, neighborhood impact, long-term benefits and similar considerations. | | | | | |
| Subcriteria For Rating | Max. Points | Points Given | | | |
| Project narrative was concise and meets a community identified gap in homeless services. | 15 | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Proposed project will help improve the community outcomes of prevention, rapid rehousing, and access to mainstream benefits or strategic planning. | 20 | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Approving funding for this project would represent an appropriate use of the City's limited CoC resources, including considerations of whether other funding sources may be available. | 10 | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Funding requested is necessary and reasonable in relation to the project design and planned outcomes. | 20 | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Project met all ESG submission deadlines during application process. | 15 | | | | |
| Comments: | 13 | | | | |
| Does the program follow the guiding principles outlined in our community's Coordinated Entry policies, including: (Maximum Points: 20) | 20 | | | | |
| Low-barrier and Easily Accessible. The CES process does not screen out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Barriers could include, but are not limited to, conditions such as income, criminal history or drug use set as eligibility requirements. | | | | | |
| Housing First Orientation. The CES process is Housing First oriented, such that people are housed quickly without preconditions or service participation requirements. | | | | | |
| Person-Centered. Every person experiencing homelessness is treated with dignity, offered at least minimal assistance, and participate in their own housing plan. Participants should be made aware of their options and offered choice whenever possible. | | | | | |
| Standardized Access and Assessment. All people in the Blue Ridge CoC can easily access the CES and are assessed using a universal assessment tool, either the Vulnerability Index Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool for individuals (VI-SPDAT) or the family version of the assessment, the F-VI-SPDAT. | | | | | |

| Comments: | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| TOTAL POINTS GIVEN TO PROPOSAL | | 100 | |
| Reviewer's Signature Da | ite | | |

ROANOKE CITY SCHOOLS

HOMELESS STUDENT REPORT

For: February Report

Submitted: March 13, 2020

Blue Ridge Interagency Council on Homelessness

| February 2020= 32 *School started August 20, 2019* |
|--|
| Total for the 2019-20 SY |
| Students Identified: 506 |
| Shelter- 64 |
| Doubled-Up- 390 |
| Hotel- 52 |
| Grades: |
| Pre-K-5= 316 |
| 6-8= 90 |
| 9-12= 100 |
| |

Submitted/Reported by Malora Horn, Homeless Student Program Coordinator