



**MINUTES**

The December meeting of the Transportation Technical Committee was held on Thursday, December 7, 2023, at 1:30 p.m. at the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission, 313 Luck Avenue, SW, Roanoke, VA.

**1. WELCOME, CALL TO ORDER**

Vice Chair Maguire called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

**2. ROLL CALL (including consideration of remote participation)**

Secretary Tori Williams called the roll and stated a quorum was present.

**VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT**

Marief Fowler	County of Bedford
Jonathan McCoy	County of Botetourt
Nick Baker	County of Botetourt
Megan Cronise	County of Roanoke
Nathan Grim	County of Roanoke
Wayne Leftwich	City of Roanoke
Josh Pratt	City of Salem
Cody Sexton, <i>Chair (via zoom)</i>	Town of Vinton
Anita McMillan	Town of Vinton
William Long	Greater Roanoke Transit Company
Frank Maguire, <i>Vice Chair</i>	Roanoke Valley Greenway Commission
Michael Gray	Virginia Dept. of Transp. - Salem District
Mitch Huber <i>(via zoom)</i>	Virginia Dept. of Rail and Public Transp.

**VOTING MEMBERS ABSENT**

Brea Hopkins	County of Montgomery
Dwayne D'Ardenne	City of Roanoke
Crystal Williams	City of Salem
Kyle Kotchou	Roanoke-Blacksburg Regional Airport
Nathan Sanford	Unified Human Serv. Transp. System

**NON-VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT**

Stephanie Mathena	Franklin County
Phil North	TPO Chair

**TPO POLICY BOARD:** Cities of Roanoke and Salem; Counties of Bedford, Botetourt, Montgomery and Roanoke; Town of Vinton; Greater Roanoke Transit Company (*Valley Metro*); Roanoke-Blacksburg Regional Airport; Virginia Department of Rail & Public Transportation; Virginia Department of Transportation

**Others Present:** Greg Lionberger, participant of Leadership Roanoke Valley – LRV; Ana Maria Barrios, participant of Leadership Roanoke Valley - LRV.

**RVARC Staff Present:** Jeremy Holmes, Andrea Garland, Alison Stinnette, Jonathan Stanton, Tori Williams, and Virginia Mullen.

Vice Chair Maguire reported that Mr. Cody Sexton, representing Town of Vinton, requested to participate remotely in today’s meeting of the Transportation Technical Committee under the “RVTPO Written Policy for Electronic Meeting Participation,” allowing for remote participation under certain circumstances and a physical quorum is present. Mr. Sexton’s request is due to personal travel.

**Motion:** by Vice Chair Maguire to approve the request for remote participation by Mr. Sexton.

**TTC Action:** without objection, the request was approved.

**3. ACTION REQUESTED: APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS**

The following consent agenda items were distributed:

- A. December 7, 2023 TTC Meeting Agenda
- B. Action on the November 9, 2023 TTC Minutes

Vice Chair Maguire proposed to postpone item #6 from the agenda to the January TTC meeting due to the absence of Mr. Bryan Hill.

**Motion:** by Mariel Fowler to approve consent agenda items (A), as amended and (B), as presented; seconded by Michael Gray.

**TTC Action:** Motion carried unanimously.

**4. CHAIR REMARKS**

- Vice Chair Maguire reported that the 2024 TTC meetings schedule is included with today’s agenda packet on page 21.
- Vice Chair Maguire announced that the Notice of Funding Opportunity for the federal BIL (Raise) grant has been published. This is a large funding opportunity for transformative projects. Grant applications are due February 28, 2024.

**5. DEVELOPMENT OF DRAFT FY25-29/30 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT & CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM FINANCIAL PLANS**

- A. Review of scoring results for new STBG and CRP Funding Requests
- B. Evaluations of submitted STBG and CRP Funding Scenarios

Ms. Stinnette presented a PowerPoint presentation on the STBG and CRP scoring and funding scenarios (the presentation is included with the Minutes).

Ms. Stinnette also distributed the following handouts:

1. Updated Staff Report, titled “Development of Draft FY25-29/30 Surface Transportation Block Grant & Carbon Reduction Program Financial Plans”.

2. Summary of the December 4, 2023 SMART SCALE actions of the Commonwealth Transportation Board.
3. Public Participation Plan Update Timeline.

The handouts are included with the Minutes.

TTC members discussed funding scenarios. Ms. Cronise stated that Roanoke County's approach is to put a pause on the leverage requests and fund the projects that are positioned to move forward. Mr. McCoy commented that the leverage funding is a key to getting a project going from Botetourt County's perspective.

TTC members came to consensus on asking staff to develop a funding scenario, which includes fully funding the following projects and using the remaining funds for leverage in SMART SCALE:

- Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park, Roanoke County: \$500,000.
- Greenway Connection- Riverland Road, City of Roanoke: \$1,180,500.
- Roanoke River Greenway- Apperson to Cook Connector, City of Salem: \$1,615,259.
- Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase), Town of Vinton: \$356,000.
- Orange Market Parking Lot Improvements, Roanoke County: \$1,500,000 – this project to be funded as much as feasible with CRP funds.

6. **RECOMMENDATION ON 2024 MAP-21 SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURE TARGETS**

This item was postponed for the January TTC meeting.

7. **OTHER BUSINESS**

Mr. Williams commented that one of the handouts includes a summary of the December 4, 2023 SMART SCALE action of the Commonwealth Transportation Board. He pointed out two key items: there will not be an application limit and land use has been eliminated as a factor.

Mr. Williams reported there is a requirement that the Public Participation Plan be updated every three years. The last update was done in 2021. Mr. Williams asked if anyone would like to volunteer to act as reviewer for the plan (staff will be drafting the plan and providing sections of the plan for reaction). The group will be meeting briefly for 10 minutes after each TTC meeting to review the plan. The following members volunteered: Cody Sexton, Jonathan McCoy, Megan Cronise, Nathan Grim, and Wayne Leftwich. The first step of the update is the public survey -staff will provide the first update after the January TTC meeting.

8. **COMMENTS BY MEMBERS AND / OR CITIZENS**

No comments were made.

9. **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 12:34 p.m.



Tori Williams

Secretary, Transportation Technical Committee



## STBG and CRP Scoring and Funding Scenarios

TTC Meeting  
December 7, 2023

1

### Objectives

- Review scoring results for new STBG & CRP project funding requests
- Evaluate submitted STBG & CRP funding scenarios
- Develop Draft FY25 – FY30 list of investments for the STBG and CRP programs

2

## Guiding Principles

- Competitive process designed to enhance the region's transportation system through the allocation of STBG and CRP funds.
- Project selection process guided by:
  - Federal Requirements (*[23 U.S.C. 133(h)(4)(B, C, and D)*).
    - *MPO shall develop a competitive process to allow eligible entities to submit projects for funding.*
    - *The competitive process shall include prioritization of project location and impact in high-need areas as defined by the State, such as low-income, transit-dependent, or other areas.*
- Commitment to existing projects
  - Prioritize projects closer to construction (shovel-readiness).
- The Six-Year Improvement Program requires projects to be fully-funded
  - May be fully funded with STBG/CRP, combination of funds, or locality supported

3

## Guiding Principles

### Regional Priorities identified by the Board of the RVTPO

- *Safe and secure transportation system*
- *Reliable mobility*
- *Convenient and affordable access*
- *Environmental sustainability*
- *Maintain and operate an efficient and resilient transportation system*
- *Support economic vitality*
- *Promote equitable transportation investments*

Source: Roanoke Valley Transportation Plan

4

## Guiding Principles

### Use of leverage in SMART SCALE

- The RVTPO encourages use of STBG & CRP as leverage in SMART SCALE
- Not all leveraging strategies are effective
  - Project request amount is a stronger predictor than benefit
    - Must have sufficient leverage to bring cost down
    - Leverage on projects <\$10M can be particularly impactful (rounds 1-5)
  - Initial benefit score remains important
    - Leverage may not significantly help project with high benefit score
    - Projects with low benefit score require significant leverage
- How much leverage does a project need to enhance its competitiveness relative to other projects?
- If project is not successful in SMART SCALE, allocation may shift back to balance entry for cost overruns on priority project or next project on the list.

5

## Scoring Summary – New Projects

Project	STBG Average	STBG Rank	CRP Average	CRP Rank
Route 419/Electric Road Safety and Streetscape Improvements, Grandin Road Extension to Keagy Road	60.49	1	43.875	1
Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements	53.8	3	37.675	3
Roanoke River Greenway - Apperson to Cook Connector	57.45	2	40.975	2
Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase)	48.15	4		
Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements	44.85	5	31.275	4

6

## Scenario Summary

Project	STBG	CRP
Route 220 Superstreet/Access Management	6	
Roanoke River Greenway - Underhill	4	
Greenway Connection - Riverland Road		8
Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park	6	2
Orange Market Parking Lot Improvements	4	3
Roanoke River Greenway - Apperson to Cook Connector	2	5
Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase)*	7	1
Route 419/Electric Road and Safety and Streetscape Improvements, Grandin Rd. Ext. to Keagy Rd.	7	1
Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements	6	
Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements	5	1
<b>Totals</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>21</b>

7

Sponsor	Project	Request	Scenario A		Scenario B		Scenario C		Scenario D		Scenario E		Scenario F		Scenario G		Scenario H		Scenario Frequency			
			STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP		
Existing Projects																						
Botetourt	Route 220 Superstreet/Access Management	\$8,000,000	\$5,000,000		\$2,500,000		5,000,000						\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000		\$5,000,000				6		
City of Roanoke	Roanoke River Greenway - Underhill	\$11,758,000		\$8,000,000					\$11,758,000				\$4,000,000			\$4,000,000				4		
City of Roanoke	Greenway Connection - Riverland Road	\$1,180,500	\$1,180,500		\$1,000,000		\$1,180,500	\$1,180,500		\$1,180,500		\$1,180,500	\$1,180,500	\$1,180,500		\$1,180,500		\$1,180,500		8		
Roanoke County	Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000		6	2	
Roanoke County	Orange Market Parking Lot Improvements	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000			\$1,500,000			\$500,000	\$1,500,000		\$500,000				4	3	
New Projects																						
City of Salem	Roanoke River Greenway - Apperson to Cook Connector	\$1,815,258	\$1,815,258		\$1,815,258				\$1,815,258		\$1,815,258		\$1,388,840		\$1,815,258		\$1,388,840			2	5	
Town ofinton	Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase)*	\$358,000	\$358,000		\$358,000		\$358,000	\$358,000		\$358,000		\$250,000		\$358,000		\$250,000				7	1	
Roanoke County/City of Roanoke	Route 419/Electric Road and Safety and Streetscape Improvements, Grandin Rd. Ext. to Keagy Rd.	\$2M to \$5M	\$2,000,000		\$500,000		\$2,150,000		\$2,378,110		\$5,000,000		\$1,000,000		\$2,300,000		\$1,000,000			7	1	
Roanoke County	Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements	\$2M to \$5M	\$2,000,000		\$500,000		\$3,150,000				\$5,000,000		\$3,242,110				\$3,242,110			8		
Roanoke County	Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements	\$2M to \$3M		\$1,388,840	\$500,000		\$3,150,000						\$1,000,000		\$2,500,000		\$1,000,000			5	1	
	Balance		\$2,520,851		\$48,191		\$72,850		\$271,581		\$2,807,881				\$2,807,881					47	21	
	Subtotal		\$15,538,988		\$17,871,258		\$17,888,500		\$17,787,888		\$15,151,738		\$18,058,450		\$15,151,738		\$18,058,450					

8

## Next Steps

Meeting	What	Action
December TPO	First reading of all STBG/CRP information (November & December TTC Meetings)	No Action
January TTC	Further discussion on the funding scenario recommendation.	No Action
January TPO	Second reading of STBG/CRP information	Action: Release for public comment, and public hearing March 2024 TPO Mtg.
February TTC	Review the STBG/CRP Survey and give feedback before submission. Comment Period: Feb 15-28	No Action
February TPO	TBD/No Item	TBD/No Item
March TTC	STBG/CRP Public Engagement Summary. Final recommendation.	Action: Recommendation to TPO Board.
March TPO	Public Hearing, STBG/CRP Public Engagement Summary and TTC Recommendation to the board on funding scenario.	Action: Consideration of Resolution and Approving FY25-30 STBG and CRP Financial Plans



**STAFF REPORT**

**TTC Meeting December 07, 2023**

**SUBJ:** Development of Draft FY25-29/30 Surface Transportation Block Grant & Carbon Reduction Program Financial Plans

The information is a follow-up from the November TTC Meeting to facilitate discussion on the development of the Draft FY25-29/30 Surface Transportation Block Grant & Carbon Reduction Program Financial Plans.

**a. Current Funding Availability**

	Previous	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	Total
<b>STBG</b>	\$66,017	\$278,319	\$320,147	\$1,458,817	\$722,654	\$5,611,078	\$6,535,078	\$14,992,110
<b>CRP</b>	\$88,226	\$572,462	\$583,911	\$595,589	\$607,501	\$619,651		\$3,067,340
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$154,243</b>	<b>\$850,781</b>	<b>\$904,058</b>	<b>\$2,054,406</b>	<b>\$1,330,155</b>	<b>\$6,230,729</b>	<b>\$6,535,078</b>	<b>\$18,059,450</b>

**b. Additional Funding Requests for Existing Projects**

Project Name	Estimated Cost Requested	Funding Type
<b>Route 220 Superstreet and Access Management</b>	\$5,000,000 for SMART SCALE Leverage	STBG
<b>Roanoke River Greenway – Underhill</b>	\$11,758,000	STBG
<b>Greenway Connection – Riverland Road</b>	\$1,180,500	CRP
<b>Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park</b>	\$500,000	STBG or CRP
<b>Orange Market and Parking Lot Improvements</b>	\$1,500,000	STBG or CRP

**c. Project Readiness**

Project Name	Status of Vendor/PE Consultant Acq.	Expected PE Initiated Date	Status of Design	Status of Environmental	Status of Right-of-Way	Expected Construction Ad Date	Expected Construction Completion Date
<b>Route 220 Superstreet and Access Management</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Roanoke River Greenway – Underhill</b>	Under contract	8/1/23	Preparing Plans	Preparing NEPA Document	Begin ROW acquisition in 3+ months.	N/A	N/A
<b>Greenway Connection – Riverland Road</b>	Under contract	3/1/23	60% Plans	NEPA Document Approved	Begin ROW acquisition in		N/A

					the next 3 months		
Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park	Under contract	N/A	Design complete	NEPA Document Approved	RW Certification Received	11/20/23	1/1/26
Orange Market Park and Ride/ Parking Lot Improvement	Awaiting additional funding to progress	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**d. New Candidate Project Requests**

Project Name	Estimated Cost Requested	Funding Type	Overall Ranking
Route 419/Electric Road Safety and Streetscape Improvements, Grandin Road Extension to Keagy Road	\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000 for SMART SCALE Leverage	STBG or CRP	1 (Both funding)
Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements	\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000 for SMART SCALE Leverage	STBG or CRP	3 (Both funding)
Roanoke River Greenway – Apperson to Cook Connector	\$1,615,259	STBG or CRP	2 (Both funding)
Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase)	\$356,000	STBG	4 (STBG)
Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements	\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000 for SMART SCALE Leverage	STBG or CRP	4 (CRP), 5 (STBG)

**e. New STBG and CRP Candidate Project Ranking Summary**

Application #1 - Route 419/Electric Road Safety and Streetscape Improvements, Grandin Road Extension to Keagy Road (\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000)	STBG	CRP
<b>A. Regional Project Consideration</b>	10	7.375
<b>B. Support the Economic Vitality of the Metropolitan Area by Enabling Global Competitiveness, Productivity, and Efficiency</b>	4.9	
<b>C. Increase the Safety and Security of the Transportation System for Motorized and Non-motorized Users</b>	4.7	3.95
<b>D. Increase the Accessibility and Mobility of People and Freight</b>	4.8	3.85
<b>E. Protect and Enhance the Environment, Promote Energy Conservation, Improve Quality of Life, and Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and State/Local Planned Growth and Economic Development Patterns</b>	4.4	3.95
<b>F. Promote Efficient System Management and Operation</b>	4.4	3.35
<b>G. Emphasize the Preservation of the Existing Transportation System</b>	4.65	4.55
<b>H. Improve the Resiliency and Reliability of the Transportation System and Reduce or Mitigate Stormwater Impacts of Surface Transportation</b>	4.45	3.8
<b>I. Enhance Travel and Tourism</b>	3.7	
<b>J. Enhance Land Use Coordination</b>	5	4.15
<b>K. Demonstrate Project Readiness</b>	4.6	4.45
<b>L. Project Included in Previous Plans that had a Public Input Process Associated with the Plan</b>	4.85	4.45
<b>Total</b>		<b>43.875</b>



Rankings	1
----------	---

Application #2 - Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements (\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000)	STBG	CRP
<b>A. Regional Project Consideration</b>	9.3	7.075
<b>B. Support the Economic Vitality of the Metropolitan Area by Enabling Global Competitiveness, Productivity, and Efficiency</b>	4.4	
<b>C. Increase the Safety and Security of the Transportation System for Motorized and Non-motorized Users</b>	4	3.45
<b>D. Increase the Accessibility and Mobility of People and Freight</b>	4.3	3.65
<b>E. Protect and Enhance the Environment, Promote Energy Conservation, Improve Quality of Life, and Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and State/Local Planned Growth and Economic Development Patterns</b>	3.5	3.15
<b>F. Promote Efficient System Management and Operation</b>	5.6	4.15
<b>G. Emphasize the Preservation of the Existing Transportation System</b>	4.3	3.45
<b>H. Improve the Resiliency and Reliability of the Transportation System and Reduce or Mitigate Stormwater Impacts of Surface Transportation</b>	4.4	3.7
<b>I. Enhance Travel and Tourism</b>	3.35	
<b>J. Enhance Land Use Coordination</b>	3.4	2.65
<b>K. Demonstrate Project Readiness</b>	3.5	3.45
<b>L. Project Included in Previous Plans that had a Public Input Process Associated with the Plan</b>	3.75	2.95
<b>Total</b>	<b>53.8</b>	<b>37.675</b>
Rankings		3

Application #3 - Roanoke River Greenway - Apperson to Cook Connector (\$1,615,259)	STBG	CRP
<b>A. Regional Project Consideration</b>	9.7	7.675
<b>B. Support the Economic Vitality of the Metropolitan Area by Enabling Global Competitiveness, Productivity, and Efficiency</b>	3.2	
<b>C. Increase the Safety and Security of the Transportation System for Motorized and Non-motorized Users</b>	4.2	3.55
<b>D. Increase the Accessibility and Mobility of People and Freight</b>	4.1	3.55
<b>E. Protect and Enhance the Environment, Promote Energy Conservation, Improve Quality of Life, and Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and State/Local Planned Growth and Economic Development Patterns</b>	5.7	4.65
<b>F. Promote Efficient System Management and Operation</b>	3	2.75
<b>G. Emphasize the Preservation of the Existing Transportation System</b>	3.75	3.25
<b>H. Improve the Resiliency and Reliability of the Transportation System and Reduce or Mitigate Stormwater Impacts of Surface Transportation</b>	3.65	3.1
<b>I. Enhance Travel and Tourism</b>	5.35	
<b>J. Enhance Land Use Coordination</b>	5	4.45
<b>K. Demonstrate Project Readiness</b>	4.7	3.75
<b>L. Project Included in Previous Plans that had a Public Input Process Associated with the Plan</b>	5.1	4.25
<b>Total</b>	<b>57.45</b>	<b>40.975</b>
Rankings		2

Application #4 -Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase) (\$356,000)		
	STBG	CRP
A. Regional Project Consideration	4.6	
B. Support the Economic Vitality of the Metropolitan Area by Enabling Global Competitiveness, Productivity, and Efficiency	2.8	
C. Increase the Safety and Security of the Transportation System for Motorized and Non-motorized Users	3.5	
D. Increase the Accessibility and Mobility of People and Freight	4.1	
E. Protect and Enhance the Environment, Promote Energy Conservation, Improve Quality of Life, and Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and State/Local Planned Growth and Economic Development Patterns	4.5	
F. Promote Efficient System Management and Operation	2.8	
G. Emphasize the Preservation of the Existing Transportation System	4.45	
H. Improve the Resiliency and Reliability of the Transportation System and Reduce or Mitigate Stormwater Impacts of Surface Transportation	3.95	
I. Enhance Travel and Tourism	4.5	
J. Enhance Land Use Coordination	4.1	
K. Demonstrate Project Readiness	5.3	
L. Project Included in Previous Plans that had a Public Input Process Associated with the Plan	3.55	
<b>Total</b>	<b>48.15</b>	
<b>Rankings</b>	<b>4</b>	

Application #5 - Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements (\$2,000,000-\$5,000,000)		
	STBG	CRP
A. Regional Project Consideration	7.7	5.775
B. Support the Economic Vitality of the Metropolitan Area by Enabling Global Competitiveness, Productivity, and Efficiency	3.7	
C. Increase the Safety and Security of the Transportation System for Motorized and Non-motorized Users	4.1	3.05
D. Increase the Accessibility and Mobility of People and Freight	3.2	2.95
E. Protect and Enhance the Environment, Promote Energy Conservation, Improve Quality of Life, and Promote Consistency between Transportation Improvements and State/Local Planned Growth and Economic Development Patterns	2.4	2.25
F. Promote Efficient System Management and Operation	4.7	3.75
G. Emphasize the Preservation of the Existing Transportation System	3.05	2.75
H. Improve the Resiliency and Reliability of the Transportation System and Reduce or Mitigate Stormwater Impacts of Surface Transportation	3.75	3.4
I. Enhance Travel and Tourism	3.6	
J. Enhance Land Use Coordination	3	2.75
K. Demonstrate Project Readiness	2.4	2.23
L. Project Included in Previous Plans that had a Public Input Process Associated with the Plan	3.25	2.25
<b>Total</b>	<b>44.85</b>	<b>31.275</b>
<b>Rankings</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>

f. New and Existing STBG and CRP Project Funding Scenario Summary  
\*Green denotes funding scenarios that favored existing projects more than new projects.

Sponsor Existing Projects	Project	Request	Scenario A		Scenario B		Scenario C		Scenario D		Scenario E		Scenario F		Scenario G		Scenario H		Scenario Frequency		
			STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG	CRP	STBG
Botetourt	Route 220 Superstreet/Access Management	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000		\$2,500,000		5,000,000														
City of Roanoke	Roanoke River Greenway - Underhill	\$11,758,000		\$9,000,000				\$11,758,000													
City of Roanoke	Greenway Connection - Riverland Road	\$1,180,500			\$1,000,000		\$1,180,500														
Roanoke County	Roanoke River Greenway through Explore Park	\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000		\$500,000													
Roanoke County	Orange Market Parking Lot Improvements	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000		\$1,500,000														
New Projects																					
City of Salem	Roanoke River Greenway - Apperson to Cook Connector	\$1,615,259	\$1,615,259		\$1,615,259		\$1,615,259														
Town of Vinton	Vinyard Road Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project (PE Phase)*	\$356,000	\$356,000		\$356,000		\$356,000														
Roanoke County/City of Roanoke	Route 419/Electric Road and Safety Improvements, Grandin Rd. Ext. to Keagy Rd.	\$2M to \$5M	\$2,000,000		\$500,000		\$3,150,000		\$2,378,110		\$5,000,000		\$1,000,000		\$2,500,000		\$1,000,000				
Roanoke County	Peters Creek Road at Williamson Road Intersection Improvements	\$2M to \$5M	\$2,000,000		\$500,000		\$3,150,000		\$3,242,110		\$5,000,000		\$3,242,110		\$3,242,110		\$3,242,110				
Roanoke County	Route 11/460/West Main Street at Dow Hollow Road Intersection Safety Improvements	\$2M to \$5M	\$1,386,840		\$500,000		\$3,150,000		\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000				
	Balance		\$2,520,851		\$88,191		\$72,950		\$271,581		\$2,907,691		\$2,907,691		\$2,907,691		\$2,907,691				
	Subtotal		\$15,538,599		\$17,971,259		\$17,986,500		\$17,787,869		\$15,151,759		\$18,059,450		\$15,151,759		\$18,059,450				

ITC Action: No Action

**Based on December 4, 2023 Actions of the Commonwealth Transportation Board**

Issue	Staff Recommendation	CTB Action	Comments
Congestion	Calculate Congestion factor 10 years into the future	Approved - Congestion Factor 7 years into the future	Considered 5 year look ahead but agreed on 7
Economic Development	Replace current ED.1 criteria with evaluation of economic development sites in VirginiaScan	Approved – minor modifications to language that would include all properties in VirginiaScan	
High-Priority Projects/Elimination of Step 2	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Refine HHP definition to specify project type;</li> <li>2) Eliminate Step which provides statewide HPP funds to projects based on district rankings. (New process would distribute HPP funds on statewide basis)</li> </ol>	<p>Approved</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Expanded definition of HPP to also include “improvements recommended as the preferred alternative in a STARS, Pipeline Study, Arterial Management Plan, or MPO, Transit, Local Studies with equivalent study components in coordination with the Commonwealth, and is defined as regionally significant in accordance with 23 C.F.R. 450.104”</li> <li>2) Elimination of Step 2</li> <li>3) Modified “New Through Lanes” to “New Lanes”</li> </ol>	Improvements recommended in MPO, Transit, or Local Studies must be coordinated with the Commonwealth prior to application.
Application Limit	Create a Three-Tier Application Limit	Passed-by – lack of motion	Considered delay until Round 7; Original motion passed with Secretary breaking tie vote; CTB requested reconsideration of vote which then failed 7 to 5

Land-Use Factor	<p>1) (Re) assign current Land-Use factor weighting to other factor categories</p> <p>2) Use normalized Land-Use factor as a multiplier on all other benefits)</p>	<p>Approved <b>eliminated</b> land-use as a factor in the SMART SCALE process.</p>	<p>Recommendation <u>passed-by</u> due to lack of motion</p> <p>CTB members questioned how land-use was defined, its authorization in the enabling legislation and the Code, and the methodology for calculating; The Secretary stated General Assembly or the Attorney General will likely clarify legal questions on legislative intent. A few members abstained.</p>
-----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**New Factor Weightings with Land-Use Eliminated**

Factor	Safety	Congestion	Accessibility	Econ. Development	Environment
Type A	15%	45%	25%	5%	10%
Type B	20%	25%	25%	20%	10%
Type C	30%	20%	15%	25%	10%
Type D	40%	10%	10%	30%	10%



# Public Participation Plan Update Timeline

TASK NAME	SUB-TASK NAME	STATUS	ASSIGNED TO	START DATE	END DATE	DURATION in Days
<input type="checkbox"/> Task 1 - Update Stakeholder Survey		In Progress	Staff	12/07	01/11	35
<input type="checkbox"/>	Finalize Stakeholder Survey	In Progress	TTC Sub-Committee	01/11	01/22	11
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conduct Stakeholder Survey	In Progress	Staff	01/22	02/22	31
<input type="checkbox"/> Task 2 - Draft Section on Transportation Planning (1) & Participation/Evaluation (2)		In Progress	Staff	02/08	03/14	35
<input type="checkbox"/>	Complete Review of Draft Sections 1 & 2	In Progress	TTC Sub-Committee	03/14	04/01	18
<input type="checkbox"/> Task 4 - Draft Section on Participation & Evaluation (3)			Staff	03/01	04/11	41
<input type="checkbox"/>	Complete Review on Draft Section 3	In Progress	TTC Sub-Committee	04/11	04/25	14
<input type="checkbox"/> Task 5 - TTC Recommendation on Public Participation Plan		In Progress	Staff	05/09		
<input type="checkbox"/> Task 6 - Public Comment Period			Staff	05/09	06/23	45
<input type="checkbox"/>	Task 6 - Public Hearing on Public Participation Plan	In Progress	Staff	06/27		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Task 7 - RVTPO Approval of Public Participation Plan	In Progress	Staff	06/27		